IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

M.C.A.No.433 of 2007.

[Smt. Nalini W/o Bhupendra Uikey]

Vs.

[Shri Bhupendranath Shriram Uikey]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,appearances,Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

Mrs. P. M. Chandekar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Coram: A. H. JOSHI, J.
Dated: 30th November 2007.

1. This case is listed on Board for orders. Respondent is served.

2. Learned Advocate for applicant prays for taking the petition for immediate hearing.

3. This Court finds that the averments contained in the petition reveal that the version of the applicant has gone unchallenged as respondent has not opposed the petition.

4. In this situation, it goes without saying and is obvious from the

facts that accepting the grounds stated in the petition, the application for transfer deserves to be allowed.

5. In the result, Miscellaneous Civil Application is allowed in terms of Prayer Clause (a). In the circumstances, parties shall bear own costs.

JUDGE

Sbm